

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,909	08/27/2003	Brian J. Bogdan	67,108-017;Bogdan 2-1-1-1	5062
	7590 06/04/200 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
400 WEST MA		WOOD, WILLIAM H		
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• ;						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/648,909	BOGDAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Wood	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	ay 2007.					
,	.—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,909

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 are pending and have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The non-originally disclosed subject matter is: assigning a part number to the firmware file that provides an indication that the firmware file is ready to be burned onto a chip and wherein the firmware file cannot be accessed to be burned onto a chip if the part number is not associated with the firmware file. The original disclosure makes no mention of requiring a part number to burn the firmware onto a chip or that a part number "provides an indication that the firmware is ready to be burned" or that the firmware cannot be burned if a part number is not associated with the firmware file. Therefore, the newly added limitations, being non-original, must be removed.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,909 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Barturen** et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0046681) in view of **Piazza** (USPN 7,178,141).

#### Claim 1

**Barturen** disclosed a method of managing a firmware (page 3, paragraph 0046, encompasses "software" of which firmware is an element) development process, comprising:

archiving a firmware file containing at least one of firmware source code and firmware object code in an archive (page 3, paragraph 0046, "source code modules", "binary and executable files"; figure 2, element 215); and

assigning a status to the firmware file corresponding to a stage in the development process, wherein the status is also stored in the archive (page 3, paragraph 0046, "to determine at any time the status of a target software system").

Barturen did not explicitly state assigning a part number to the firmware file that provides an indication that the firmware file is ready to be burned onto a chip and wherein the firmware file cannot be accessed to be burned onto a chip if the part number is not associated with the firmware file. Piazza demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to require part numbers for firmware (column 2, line 19 and column 2, lines 23-25) and to burn or write to chips (column 1, lines 38-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the firmware archiving system of Barturen with assigning part numbers to firmware for deployment (that is writing or burning to a chip) as found in Piazza's teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to track changes and ensure compatibility (Piazza: column 1, lines 49-52; and column 2, lines 18-20).

#### Claim 2

**Barturen** disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising storing a work history of the firmware file in the archive (page 3, paragraph 0046, "manages the different releases and version of the software product components during the development cycle and keeps track of their evolution").

#### Claim 6

Barturen did not explicitly state the method of claim 1, wherein the firmware

Application/Control Number: 10/648,909

Art Unit: 2193

file contains source code and object code, and wherein the method further comprises compressing the source code and object code before the archiving step. **Barturen** demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to provide compression of files (page 5, paragraph 0084). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the software management system of **Barturen** with compression of files at various stages including before archival as suggested by **Barturen**'s teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make use of a technique to save space.

# Claim 7

**Barturen** disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising assigning a part number to the firmware file (page 6, paragraph 0091).

#### Claim 8

**Barturen** disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising saving edits to the firmware file (page 3, paragraph 0047, "changes").

## Claim 9

**Barturen** disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising retrieving at least a portion of the firmware file from the archive (page 5, paragraph 0081, "extracts").

Application/Control Number: 10/648,909

Art Unit: 2193

### Claim 10

Barturen disclosed the method of claim 9, wherein the retrieving step comprises: selecting whether to retrieve the object code or both the object code and the source code; and retrieving based on the selecting step (page 8, paragraph 0122, "usually only binary files", not always).

### Claim 11

Barturen disclosed the method of claim 10, wherein the selecting step further comprises restricting retrieval of the source code to at least one authorized user (page 6, paragraph 0089, teams).

5. Claims 3-5 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Barturen** et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0046681) in view of **Piazza** (USPN 7,178,141) in further view of **Mukherjee** et al. (USPN 5,317,729).

#### Claim 3

Barturen did not explicitly state the method of claim 1, wherein the status is one selected from a private status, a test status, and a public status.

Mukherjee demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to provide private, test and public statuses (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the software management system of **Barturen** with various statuses as found in **Mukherjee**'s teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make use of a long existing and therefore readily available mechanism for version control (column 1, lines 5-11), which is the purpose of **Barturen**.

#### Claim 4

**Barturen** and **Mukherjee** disclosed the method of claim 3, wherein the private status corresponds to a design stage in the development process, the test status corresponds to a reviewing stage, and the public status corresponds to a manufacturing stage (**Mukherjee**: column 1, lines 45-55).

### Claim 5

**Barturen** and **Mukherjee** disclosed the method of claim 3, wherein at least one of the private status and the test status restricts access to the firmware file to at least one authorized user (**Barturen**: page 6, paragraph 0089, teams).

### Claims 12-18

The limitaitons of claims 12-18 correspond to claims 1-5 and 7-11 and are rejected in the same manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,909 Page 8

Art Unit: 2193

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2193

# Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 10:00am - 4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)-272-3756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193 May 22, 2007